Conference ADGO 2013 October 16, 2013

Brøndsted-Rockafellar property of subdifferentials of prox-bounded functions

Marc Lassonde Université des Antilles et de la Guyane

Playa Blanca, Tongoy, Chile

SUBDIFFERENTIAL OF CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Everywhere X is a Banach space. A set-valued operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$, or graph $T \subset X \times X^*$, is *monotone* provided

$$\langle y^* - x^*, y - x \rangle \ge 0, \quad \forall (x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in T,$$

and *maximal monotone* provided it is monotone and not properly contained in another monotone operator.

The subdifferential $\partial f: X \rightrightarrows X^*$ of a convex $f: X \rightarrow]-\infty, +\infty]$ is

$$\partial f(x) := \Big\{ x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, y - x \rangle + f(x) \le f(y), \, \forall y \in X \Big\},\$$

and the *duality operator* $J: X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is

$$J(x) := \left\{ x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, x \rangle = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2 \right\}.$$

It is easily verified that $J(x) = \partial j(x)$ where $j(x) = (1/2) ||x||^2$.

Theorem (Rockafellar, 1970) Let X be a Banach space. The subdifferential ∂f of a proper convex lower semicontinuous function $f: X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ is maximal monotone.

PROOF WHEN X = H IS HILBERT (taken from Brezis, 1973)

By Hahn-Banach, $f \ge \ell + \alpha$ for some $\ell \in X^*$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $j + \ell$ is coercive $(j(x) + \ell(x) = (1/2)||x||^2 + \ell(x) \to +\infty$ as $||x|| \to +\infty)$, so

f + j is coercive.

Hence f + j attains its minimum at some $\bar{x} \in H$, so $0 \in \partial (f + j)(\bar{x})$.

Since $\partial j = \nabla j = I$ (identity on *H*), we readily get $0 \in (\partial f + I)(\bar{x})$, so $0 \in R(\partial f + I)$. We conclude that

$$X^* = R(\partial f + I).$$

This is easily seen to imply that ∂f is maximal monotone.

(This is the elementary part in Minty's characterization of maximal monotonicity (1962).)

PROOF IN THE GENERAL BANACH CASE: STEP 1

Claim:

$$0 \in \overline{R(\partial f + J)}.\tag{1}$$

First, $f \ge \ell + \alpha$ for some $\ell \in X^*$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, and $j + \ell$ bounded below, so f + j is bounded below.

Next, let $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary and let $y_{\varepsilon} \in \text{dom } f$ such that

$$(f+j)(y_{\varepsilon}) \le (f+j)(y) + \varepsilon^2, \ \forall y \in X.$$

By Brøndsted-Rockafellar approximation theorem (1965), $\exists x_{\varepsilon}^* \in X^* \text{ with } \|x_{\varepsilon}^*\| \leq \varepsilon \text{ and } z_{\varepsilon} \in X \text{ such that } x_{\varepsilon}^* \in \partial(f+j)(z_{\varepsilon}).$ By Rockafellar's sum rule (1966), $x_{\varepsilon}^* \in \partial f(z_{\varepsilon}) + J(z_{\varepsilon}).$

Conclusion: $\exists x_{\varepsilon}^* \in R(\partial f + J)$ with $||x_{\varepsilon}^*|| \leq \varepsilon$, proving the claim.

PROOF: STEP 2

Let $(x, x^*) \in X \times X^*$ such that

$$\langle y^* - x^*, y - x \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall (y, y^*) \in \partial f.$$
 (2)

Applying (1) to $f(x + .) - x^*$, we get

$$x^* \in \overline{R(\partial f(x+.)+J)}.$$

Thus, there are $(x_n^*) \subset X^*$ with $x_n^* \to x^*$ and $(h_n) \subset X$ such that $x_n^* \in \partial f(x+h_n) + J(h_n)$. Let $(y_n^*) \subset X^*$ such that

$$y_n^* \in \partial f(x+h_n)$$
 and $x_n^* - y_n^* \in J(h_n).$

By definition of J, we have

$$\langle x_n^* - y_n^*, h_n \rangle = \|x_n^* - y_n^*\|^2 = \|h_n\|^2.$$
 (3)

From (2) and $y_n^* \in \partial f(x+h_n)$, we get $\langle x^* - y_n^*, x+h_n - x \rangle \leq 0$, so $\|h_n\|^2 = \langle x_n^* - x^*, h_n \rangle + \langle x^* - y_n^*, x+h_n - x \rangle \leq \langle x_n^* - x^*, h_n \rangle \leq \|x_n^* - x^*\| \|h_n\|.$

Hence, $h_n \to 0$, so, by (3), $||x_n^* - y_n^*|| \to 0$, therefore $y_n^* \to x^*$. Since ∂f has closed graph and $y_n^* \in \partial f(x + h_n)$, we conclude that $x^* \in \partial f(x)$.

OTHER PROOFS OF MAXIMALITY OF ∂f for convex f

1/ *f* everywhere finite and continuous:

• Minty (1964), Phelps (1989), using mean value theorem and link between subderivative and subdifferential

2/f lsc, X Hilbert:

- Moreau (1965), via prox functions and duality theory,
- Brezis (1973), showing directly that $\partial f + I$ is onto

3/ f *lsc, X Banach*: all proofs use a variational principle and another tool

- Rockafellar (1970): continuity of $(f + j)^*$ in X^* and link between $(\partial f)^{-1}$ and ∂f^* in $X^{**} \times X^*$,
- Taylor (1973) and Borwein (1982): subderivative mean value inequality and link between subderivative and subdifferential,
- Zagrodny (1988?), Simons (1991), Luc (1993), etc: subdifferential mean value inequality,
- Thibault (1999): limiting convex subdifferential calculus,
- Marques Alves-Svaiter (2008), Simons (2009): conjugate functions and Fenchel duality formula or subdifferential sum rule.

BEYOND THE CONVEX CASE: MAIN TOOLS

Let be given a 'subdifferential' ∂ that associates a subset $\partial f(x) \subset X^*$ to each $x \in X$ and each function f on X so that $\partial f(x)$ coincides with the convex subdifferential when f is convex.

The two main tools in the convex situation were:

- Brøndsted-Rockafellar's approximation theorem (1965)
- Rockafellar's subdifferential sum rule (1966).

They will be respectively replaced by:

Ekeland Variational Principle (1974). For any lsc function f on X, $\overline{x} \in \text{dom } f$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $f(\overline{x}) \leq \inf f(X) + \varepsilon$, and for any $\lambda > 0$, there is $x_{\lambda} \in X$ s.t. $||x_{\lambda} - \overline{x}|| \leq \lambda$, $f(x_{\lambda}) \leq f(\overline{x})$, and $x \mapsto f(x) + (\varepsilon/\lambda)||x - x_{\lambda}||$ has a minimum at x_{λ} .

Subdifferential Separation Principle. For any lsc functions f, φ on Xwith φ convex Lipschitz near $\overline{x} \in \text{dom } f \cap \text{dom } \varphi$, $f + \varphi$ has a local minimum at $\overline{x} \implies 0 \in \partial f(\overline{x}) + \partial \varphi(\overline{x})$.

SUBDIFFERENTIALS SATISFYING THE SEPARATION PRINCIPLE

The main examples of pairs (X, ∂) for which the Subdifferential Separation Principle holds are:

- the Clarke subdifferential ∂_C in arbitrary Banach spaces,
- the limiting Fréchet subdifferential $\hat{\partial}_F$ in Asplund spaces,
- the limiting Hadamard subdifferential $\widehat{\partial}_H$ in separable spaces,
- the limiting proximal subdifferential $\widehat{\partial}_P$ in Hilbert spaces.

For more details, see, e.g., Jules-Lassonde (2013, 2013b).

COMBINING THE TOOLS

Set dom $f^* = \{x^* \in X^* : \inf(f - x^*)(X) > -\infty\}.$

Proposition Let X Banach, $f : X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ proper lsc, $\varphi : X \to \mathbb{R}$ convex loc. Lispchitz. Then, dom $(f + \varphi)^* \subset \operatorname{cl} (R(\partial f + \partial \varphi))$.

Proof. Let $x^* \in \text{dom} (f + \varphi)^*$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is $\overline{x} \in X$ s.t.

 $(f + \varphi - x^*)(\bar{x}) \leq \inf(f + \varphi - x^*)(X) + \varepsilon^2,$

so, by Ekeland's variational principle, there is $x_{\varepsilon} \in X$ such that $x \mapsto f(x) + \varphi(x) + \langle -x^*, x \rangle + \varepsilon || x - x_{\varepsilon} ||$ attains its minimum at x_{ε} . Now, applying the Separation Principle with the convex locally Lipschitz $\psi : x \mapsto \varphi(x) + \langle -x^*, x \rangle + \varepsilon || x - x_{\varepsilon} ||$ we obtain $x_{\varepsilon}^* \in \partial f(x_{\varepsilon})$ such that $-x_{\varepsilon}^* \in \partial \psi(x_{\varepsilon}) = \partial \varphi(x_{\varepsilon}) - x^* + \varepsilon B_{X^*}$. So, there is $y_{\varepsilon}^* \in \partial \varphi(x_{\varepsilon})$ such that that $||x^* - y_{\varepsilon}^* - x_{\varepsilon}^*|| \le \varepsilon$. Thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the ball $B(x^*, \varepsilon)$ contains $x_{\varepsilon}^* + y_{\varepsilon}^* \in \partial f(x_{\varepsilon}) + \partial \varphi(x_{\varepsilon}) \subset R(\partial f + \partial \varphi)$. This means that $x^* \in \operatorname{cl}(R(\partial f + \partial \varphi))$.

The case $\varphi = 0$ and $f = \delta_C$ with C nonempty closed convex set says that the set $R(\partial \delta_C)$ of functionals in X^* that attain their supremum on C is dense in the set dom δ_C^* of all those functionals which are bounded above on C (Bishop-Phelps).

PROX-BOUNDED FUNCTIONS

A function f is called *prox-bounded* if there exists $\lambda > 0$ such that the function $f + \lambda j$ is bounded below; the infimum λ_f of the set of all such λ is called the *threshold* of prox-boundedness for f:

$$\lambda_f := \inf\{\lambda > 0 : \inf(f + \lambda j) > -\infty\}.$$

Any convex lsc function g is prox-bounded with threshold $\lambda_g = 0$, the sum f + g of a prox-bounded f and of a convex lsc g is proxbounded with $\lambda_{f+g} \leq \lambda_f$, for every $x^* \in X^*$, $\lambda_{f+x^*} = \lambda_f$, and for every $x \in X$, $f(x + .) + \lambda_f$ is bounded below for any $\lambda > \lambda_f$ (see Rockafellar-Wets book (1998)).

Consequence: if f is prox-bounded, then for every $\lambda > \lambda_f$, $\forall x \in X$, dom $(f(x + .) + \lambda j)^* = X^*$.

From this and the previous result we get:

Proposition Let X Banach and let $f : X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ be lsc and prox-bounded with threshold λ_f . Then, for every $\lambda > \lambda_f$, $\forall x \in X$, $\operatorname{cl} (R(\partial f(x+.) + \lambda J)) = X^*$.

GOING FURTHER: MONOTONE ABSORPTION

Given $T: X \rightrightarrows X^*$, or $T \subset X \times X^*$, and $\varepsilon \ge 0$, we let

 $T^{\varepsilon} := \{ (x, x^*) \in X \times X^* : \langle y^* - x^*, y - x \rangle \ge -\varepsilon, \ \forall (y, y^*) \in T \}$

be the set of pairs $(x, x^*) \in$ -monotonically related to T.

An operator T is monotone provided $T \subset T^0$ and monotone maximal provided $T = T^0$.

A non necessarily monotone operator T is declared to be *monotone* absorbing provided $T^0 \subset \overline{T}$ (norm-closure).

A non necessarily monotone operator T is declared to be *widely* monotone absorbing with threshold $\lambda_T \geq 0$ provided for every $\lambda > \lambda_T$ one has

$$\forall \varepsilon \ge 0, \ T^{\varepsilon} \subset \left(T + \sqrt{\lambda^{-1}\varepsilon} B_X \times \sqrt{\lambda\varepsilon} B_{X^*}\right).$$

Equivalently: $\forall \varepsilon \geq 0$, $(x, x^*) \in T^{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow$ $\exists (x_n, x_n^*) \subset T : \lim_n ||x - x_n|| \leq \sqrt{\lambda^{-1}\varepsilon} \text{ and } \lim_n ||x^* - x_n^*|| \leq \sqrt{\lambda\varepsilon}.$

SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR WIDE MONOTONE ABSORPTION

Proposition Let
$$T: X \rightrightarrows X^*$$
 and $\lambda > 0$. Assume that
 $\forall x \in X, \ \mathsf{cl} \left(R(T(x+.) + \lambda J) = X^*. \right)$ (4)
Then:
 $\forall \varepsilon \ge 0, \quad T^{\varepsilon} \subset \mathsf{cl} \left(T + \sqrt{\lambda^{-1} \varepsilon} B_X \times \sqrt{\lambda \varepsilon} B_{X^*} \right).$ (5)

Proof. Let $\varepsilon \geq 0$ and let $(x, x^*) \in T^{\varepsilon}$. Since $T(x + .) + \lambda J$ has a dense range, we can find $(x_n^*) \subset X^*$ with $x_n^* \to x^*$ and $(y_n) \subset X$ such that $x_n^* \in T(x + y_n) + \lambda J y_n$. Let $(y_n^*) \subset X^*$ such that

$$y_n^* \in T(x+y_n)$$
 and $x_n^* - y_n^* \in \lambda J y_n$

By definition of J, we have

$$\lambda^{-1} \langle x_n^* - y_n^*, y_n \rangle = \|\lambda^{-1} (x_n^* - y_n^*)\|^2 = \|y_n\|^2.$$
(6)

But $x^* \in T^{\varepsilon}x$ and $y_n^* \in T(x+y_n)$, so $\langle x^* - y_n^*, y_n \rangle \leq \varepsilon$, hence

$$\lambda \|y_n\|^2 = \langle x_n^* - x^*, y_n \rangle + \langle x^* - y_n^*, y_n \rangle \le \langle x_n^* - x^*, y_n \rangle + \varepsilon \le \|x_n^* - x^*\| \|y_n\| + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore, $\lambda \|y_n\|^2 - \|x_n^* - x^*\|\|y_n\| - \varepsilon \leq 0$, so we must have $||y_n|| < (||x_n^* - x^*|| + \sqrt{||x_n^* - x^*||^2 + 4\varepsilon\lambda})/2\lambda.$ (7)From (7) we derive that $\limsup_n \|y_n\| \leq \sqrt{\lambda^{-1}\varepsilon}$, so, by (6). $\limsup_{n} \|x_n^* - y_n^*\| = \limsup_{n} \lambda \|y_n\| \le \sqrt{\lambda \varepsilon}.$ In conclusion we have $(x + y_n, y_n^*) \in T$ with $\limsup_{n} \|x - (x + y_n)\| \le \sqrt{\lambda^{-1}\varepsilon}, \quad \limsup_{n} \|x^* - y_n^*\| \le \sqrt{\lambda\varepsilon},$

Open problem: We don't know whether the converse $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$ is true.

WIDE MONOTONE ABSORPTION PROPERTY OF SUBDIFFERENTIALS OF PROX-BOUNDED FUNCTIONS

Combining the last two propositions gives:

Theorem Let X Banach and $f: X \to]-\infty, +\infty]$ lsc, prox-bounded with threshold $\lambda_f \ge 0$. Then: $\forall \lambda > \lambda_f, \forall \varepsilon \ge 0$, $(\partial f)^{\varepsilon} \subset \operatorname{cl} \left(\partial f + \sqrt{\lambda^{-1}\varepsilon}B_X \times \sqrt{\lambda\varepsilon}B_{X^*}\right)$. Equivalently: for all $\lambda > \lambda_f$ and $\varepsilon \ge 0$, $(x^*, x) \in (\partial f)^{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow$ $\exists ((x_n^*, x_n))_n \subset \partial f : \lim_n ||x - x_n|| \le \sqrt{\lambda^{-1}\varepsilon} \& \lim_n ||x^* - x_n^*|| \le \sqrt{\lambda\varepsilon}$.

In case $\lambda_f = 0$ (in particular for a convex f), the wide monotone absorption property is equivalent to the so-called *maximal monotonicity of Brøndsted-Rockafellar type* studied in Simons (1999, 2008) and others, hence the above theorem extends known results for convex functions to the class of prox-bounded non necessarily convex functions, with a more direct proof.

REFERENCES

J. M. Borwein, A note on ε -subgradients and maximal monotonicity, *Pacific J. Math.* **103** (1982), 307–314

H. Brézis, Opérateurs maximaux monotones et semi-groupes de contractions dans les espaces de Hilbert, North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam, 1973; North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 5. Notas de Matemática (50)

A. Brøndsted and R. T. Rockafellar, On the subdifferentiability of convex functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **16** (1965), 605–611

I. Ekeland, On the variational principle, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 47 (1974), 324–353

F. Jules and M. Lassonde, Subdifferential estimate of the directional derivative, optimality criterion and separation principles, *Optimization* **62** (2013), 1267–1288

F. Jules and M. Lassonde, Subdifferential test for optimality, *J. Global Optim.*, in press, doi:10.1007/s10898-013-0078-6

M. Lassonde, Brøndsted-Rockafellar property of subdifferentials of prox-bounded functions, arXiv:1306.5466

D. T. Luc, On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferentials, *Acta Math. Vietnam.* **18** (1993), 99–106

M. Marques Alves and B. F. Svaiter, A new proof for maximal monotonicity of subdifferential operators, *J. Convex Anal.* **15** (2008), 345–348

G. J. Minty, Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space, Duke Math. J. 29 (1962), 341–346

G. J. Minty, On the monotonicity of the gradient of a convex function, *Pacific J. Math.* 14 (1964), 243–247

J.-J. Moreau, Proximité et dualité dans un espace hilbertien, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* **935** (1965), 273–299

R. R. Phelps, Convex functions, monotone operators and differentiability, volume 1364 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 1993.

R. T. Rockafellar, Extension of Fenchel's duality theorem for convex functions, *Duke Math. J.* **33** (1966), 81–89

R. T. Rockafellar, On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential mappings, *Pacific J. Math.* **33** (1970), 209–216

R. T. Rockafellar and R. J.-B. Wets, Variational analysis, volume 317 of Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences], Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998, xiv+733 pp.

S. Simons, The least slope of a convex function and the maximal monotonicity of its subdifferential, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **71** (1991), 127–136

S. Simons, Maximal monotone multifunctions of Brøndsted-Rockafellar type, *Set-Valued Anal.* 7 (1999), 255–294

S. Simons, From Hahn-Banach to monotonicity, volume 1693 of Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer, New York, second edition, 2008

S. Simons, A new proof of the maximal monotonicity of subdifferentials, *J. Convex Anal.* **16** (2009), 165–168

P. D. Taylor, Subgradients of a convex function obtained from a directional derivative, *Pacific J. Math.* **44** (1973), 739–747

L. Thibault, Limiting convex subdifferential calculus with applications to integration and maximal monotonicity of subdifferential, *Constructive, experimental, and nonlinear analysis (Limoges, 1999)*, CMS Conf. Proc. **27**, pp. 279–289, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000